

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2002

Commission File Number 1-8754

SWIFT ENERGY COMPANY

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Texas
(State of Incorporation)

74-2073055
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

16825 Northchase Dr., Suite 400
Houston, Texas 77060
(281) 874-2700

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class:
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share

Exchanges on Which Registered:
New York Stock Exchange
Pacific Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates at March 1, 2003 was approximately \$246,766,019.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2002 was 27,201,509 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Document

Incorporated as to

Notice and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 13, 2003

Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, and 13

Form 10-K

Swift Energy Company and Subsidiaries

10-K Part and Item No.	Page
Part I	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 2. Properties	6
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	19
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	19
Part II	
Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	19
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	20
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	32
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	33
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	58
Part III	
Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant (1)	58
Item 11. Executive Compensation (1)	58
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management (1)	58
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions (1)	58
Item 14. Controls and Procedures	58
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K	59

(1) Incorporated by reference from Notice and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 13, 2003.

PART I

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

See pages 18 and 19 for explanations of abbreviations and terms used herein.

General

Swift Energy Company is engaged in developing, exploring, acquiring, and operating oil and gas properties, with a focus on onshore and inland waters oil and natural gas reserves in Texas and Louisiana and onshore oil and natural gas reserves in New Zealand. The Company was founded in 1979 and is headquartered in Houston, Texas. As of December 31, 2002, we had interests in 932 wells located domestically in three states, in federal offshore waters, and in New Zealand. We operated 820 of these wells representing 95% our proved reserves. At year-end 2002, we had estimated proved reserves of 749.4 Bcfe, of which approximately 44% was natural gas, 42% crude oil, and 14% NGLs, and overall 60% was proved developed. Our proved reserves are concentrated 41% in Texas, 35% in Louisiana, and 21% in New Zealand.

We currently focus primarily on development and exploration in four domestic core areas and two core areas in New Zealand:

Area	Location	% of Year-End 2002 Proved Reserves	% of 2002 Production
AWP Olmos	South Texas	30%	22%
Brookeland	East Texas	6%	8%
Lake Washington	South Louisiana	25%	9%
Masters Creek	Central Louisiana	10%	20%
Rimu/Kauri	New Zealand	12%	3%
TAWN	New Zealand	9%	28%
% of Total		92%	90%

We have a well-balanced portfolio of oil and gas properties and prospects. The AWP Olmos and Lake Washington areas and New Zealand are characterized by long-lived reserves that we expect to be steadily produced over a long period of time. The Masters Creek and Brookeland areas are characterized by shorter-lived reserves with high initial rates of production that decline rapidly. We believe these shorter-lived reserves complement our long-lived reserves. We focus on drilling the long-lived properties during periods of decreasing commodity prices, while the shorter-lived properties provide additional drillable projects in periods of rising commodity prices. Based on 2002 year-end proved reserves and 2002 production, we calculated our average reserve life as 17.4 years domestically and 10.0 years in New Zealand.

We have increased our proved reserves from 361.5 Bcfe at year-end 1997 to 749.4 Bcfe at year-end 2002, which has resulted in the replacement of 278% of our production during the same five-year period. Our five-year average reserves replacement costs were \$1.25 per Mcfe. Our average annual reserve replacement costs for the last five years, starting with 2002 were \$0.96, \$3.30, \$0.81, \$1.27 and \$1.20 per Mcfe. In 2002, we increased our proved reserves by 16%, which replaced 308% of our 2002 production. Our 2002 production increased by 11% in relation to 2001 production. We have increased our production from 25.4 Bcfe at year-end 1997 to 49.8 Bcfe at year-end 2002. Primarily due to increased production, this has resulted in average annual growth in net cash provided by operating activities of 5% per year from year-end 1997 to year-end 2002, even though in 2002 net cash provided by operating activities fell 49% due to pricing changes.

Through intensive efforts, we have developed an inventory of exploration and development prospects, identifying drilling locations through integrated geological and geophysical studies of our undeveloped acreage and other prospects. As a result, we added 184.7 Bcfe of proved reserves through drilling in 2000 (122.5 Bcfe from New Zealand), 105.8 Bcfe in 2001 (17.4 Bcfe from New Zealand), and 83.9 Bcfe in 2002 (15.9 Bcfe from New Zealand). The 2002 additions were primarily a result of our development success rate, as 17 of 23 domestic development wells drilled were successful, while three of seven domestic exploratory wells were successful.

We purchased interests in the Brookeland and Masters Creek areas from Sonat Exploration Company in the third quarter of 1998 for approximately \$85.8 million in cash. In the first quarter of 2001, we purchased interests in

the Lake Washington field from Elysium Energy, LLC, for approximately \$30.5 million in cash. In the first quarter of 2002, we purchased interests in the four TAWN fields in New Zealand for approximately \$51.4 million, which also included significant infrastructure, after purchase price adjustments.

We currently plan to spend \$115 to \$130 million in total capital expenditures in 2003, excluding acquisition costs and net of approximately \$5 million to \$15 million in non-core property dispositions. The budget for 2003 is largely dependent upon our performance and commodity pricing during the year. Domestic activities account for 85% of our budgeted spending, primarily in the Lake Washington Area.

Competitive Strengths and Business Strategy

We believe that our competitive strengths, together with a balanced and comprehensive business strategy, provide us with the flexibility and capability to accomplish our goals.

Balanced Approach to Adding Reserves

When we believe the market favors increasing reserves through acquisitions, we apply our considerable experience in evaluating and negotiating prospective acquisitions. For example, in 1998, when commodity prices were relatively weak, 32% of our capital expenditures consisted of property acquisitions, with 37% committed to our drilling activities. In contrast, in 2001, when commodity prices were relatively strong in the first half of the year, only 15% of our capital expenditures were spent on property acquisitions, with our drilling expenditures increasing to 67% of total capital expended. We believe this balanced approach has resulted in our ability to grow reserves in a relatively low cost manner, while participating in the upside potential of exploration.

Our strategy is to increase our reserves and production through both drilling and acquisitions, shifting the balance between the two activities in response to market conditions. Generally, we seek to acquire properties with the potential for additional reserves and production through development and exploration efforts. In addition, we seek to enhance the results of our drilling and production efforts through the implementation of advanced technologies.

During 2002, in response to strong oil prices throughout the year, we focused our capital expenditures on the Lake Washington Area domestically and on the TAWN acquisition in New Zealand. Although oil prices remained strong in 2002, natural gas prices for most of the year were lower than prior year levels, and our cash flow generated due to these commodity prices decreased, as expected, even though production increased. As a result of lower cash flow in 2002, we reduced our capital expenditures to \$155.2 million. Of this amount, \$58.4 million was spent on acquisitions, mainly the TAWN acquisition in New Zealand. We spent \$42.7 million on drilling in the United States, with \$34.4 for development drilling and \$8.3 million for exploratory drilling. In New Zealand we spent \$22.9 million on drilling, with \$12.6 million for development drilling and \$10.3 million for exploratory drilling. We also spent \$10.6 million constructing a gas processing plant in New Zealand. The remaining capital expenditures of \$20.6 million were spent primarily on leasehold, seismic, and geological costs of prospects, both in the United States and New Zealand. During 2002, we principally relied upon cash flows from operations of \$71.6 million, net proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt of \$195.0 million, and net proceeds from our public stock offering of \$30.5 million, less the repayment of bank borrowings of \$134.0 million, to fund our capital expenditures.

In 145 transactions from 1979 to 2002, we have acquired approximately \$695.7 million of producing oil and gas properties on behalf of our co-investors and ourselves. We acquired, for our own account, approximately \$339.2 million of producing properties, with original proved reserves estimated at 468.5 Bcfe during this period. Our producing property acquisition expenditures in the past three years were \$64.2 million in 2002, \$41.3 million in 2001, and \$34.2 million in 2000. Our acquisition costs have averaged \$0.83 per Mcfe over this three-year period. Our acquisition cost in 2002 averaged \$0.87 per Mcfe.

Concentrated Focus on Core Areas

Our concentration of reserves and our significant acreage positions in our core areas allow us to realize economies of scale in drilling and production. We enhance the value of this concentration by acting as the operator of 95% of our proved reserves at year-end 2002. Our operational control allows us to better manage production, control our expenses, allocate capital and time field development. We intend to continue to acquire large acreage positions in under-explored and under-exploited areas, where, as operator, we can exploit

successful discoveries to create new core areas or grow production from developed fields. In executing this strategy:

- We focus our resources on acquiring properties that we can operate, and in which we can obtain a significant working interest. With operational control, we can apply our technical and operational expertise to optimize our exploration and exploitation of the properties that we acquire.
- We acquire and operate domestic properties in a limited number of geographic areas. Operating in a concentrated area helps us to better control our overhead by enabling us to manage a greater amount of acreage with fewer employees, minimizing incremental costs of increased drilling and production.
- We continue to believe in natural gas prospects and reserves in the United States. The natural gas market in the United States has a well-developed infrastructure. Natural gas is viewed by many as the preferred fuel in North America for several reasons, including environmental concerns. We have a strong inventory of natural gas that can be developed in a higher priced environment.
- We seek to operate large acreage positions with high exploration and development potential. For example, on our original 100,000 acre New Zealand permit, only two wells had been drilled at the time that we acquired our interest. The Masters Creek, Brookeland and Lake Washington areas also had significant additional development potential when we first acquired our interest in those areas.

Ability to Build Upon our Recent Discoveries and Acquisitions in New Zealand

Our New Zealand activities provide us with long-term growth opportunities and significant potential reserves in a country with stable political and economic conditions, existing oil and gas infrastructure, and favorable tax and royalty regimes. We have completed construction of our Rimu production and gas processing facilities, which became operational in May 2002 and enabled us to begin the sale of production from the Rimu/Kauri area. We were able to bring our Rimu discovery on commercial production in a significantly shorter period than any other similar project previously undertaken in New Zealand of which we are aware.

In January 2002, we acquired the TAWN fields. In our TAWN acquisition, we also acquired extensive associated processing facilities and pipelines, which give us a competitive advantage through infrastructure that complements our existing fields, providing us with increased access to export terminals and markets and additional excess processing capacity for both oil and natural gas.

Experienced Technical Team

We employ oil and gas professionals, including geophysicists, petrophysicists, geologists, petroleum engineers, and production and reservoir engineers, who have an average of approximately 25 years of experience in their technical fields and have been employed by Swift for an average of over 10 years. We continually apply our extensive in-house expertise and current advanced technologies to benefit our drilling and production operations. We have developed a particular expertise in drilling horizontal wells at vertical depths below 10,000 feet, often in a high-pressure environment, involving single or dual lateral legs of several thousand feet. This results in an integrated approach to exploration using multidisciplinary data analysis and interpretation that has helped us identify a number of exploration prospects.

We use various recovery techniques, including water flooding and acid treatments, fracturing reservoir rock through the injection of high-pressure fluid, gravel packing, and inserting coiled tubing velocity strings to enhance and maintain gas flow. We believe that the application of fracturing technology and coiled tubing has resulted in significant increases in production and decreases in completion and operating costs, particularly in our AWP Olmos Area.

We have increasingly used seismic technology to enhance the results of our drilling and production efforts, including 2-D and 3-D seismic analysis, amplitude versus offset studies, and detailed formation depletion studies. As a result, we have maintained internal seismic expertise and have compiled an extensive database.

When appropriate, we develop new applications for existing technology. For example, in New Zealand we acquired seismic data by effectively combining marine data with the acquisition of land seismic data, an application we have not seen any other company use in New Zealand.

Financial Discipline

We practice a disciplined approach to financial management and have historically maintained a strong capital structure that preserves our ability to execute our business plan. Key components of our financial discipline include maintaining a capital budget balanced between drilling and acquisitions, establishing leverage targets that are reasonable given the volatility of the oil and gas markets, and opportunistically accessing the capital markets. As of December 31, 2002, our long-term debt comprised approximately 47% of our total capitalization. We applied the net proceeds from our common stock offering and debt offering in April 2002 in the amount of \$225.5 million to reduce amounts outstanding under our credit facility. At December 31, 2002, we had \$194.2 million of available borrowing capacity. By replacing indebtedness incurred under our revolving credit facility in connection with acquisition, development, and exploitation activity with the net proceeds from our common stock offering and debt offering, we implemented our strategy of matching long-lived assets with long-term financing.

Domestic Core Operating Areas

AWP Olmos Area. As of December 31, 2002, we owned approximately 27,900 net acres in the AWP Olmos Area in South Texas. We have extensive expertise and a long history of experience with low-permeability, tight-sand formations typical of this area, having acquired our first acreage there in 1988. These reserves are approximately 66% gas. At year-end 2002, we owned interests in 495 wells and operated 494 wells in this area producing gas from the Olmos sand formation at depths of approximately 9,000 to 11,500 feet. We own nearly 100% of the working interests in all our operated wells.

In 2002, we performed four fracture extensions and installed coiled tubing velocity strings in five wells. At year-end 2002, we had 128 proved undeveloped locations. Also in 2002, we purchased interests in the AWP Olmos area from partnerships we managed. Our planned 2003 capital expenditures in this area will focus on drilling 10 wells and performing fracture extensions and installing coiled tubing velocity strings to maintain a flat production profile.

Brookeland Area. As of December 31, 2002, we owned drilling and production rights in 76,259 net acres and 3,500 fee mineral acres in this area, which contains substantial proved undeveloped reserves. This area was part of the acquisition from Sonat in 1998 and is located in East Texas near the border of Louisiana in Jasper and Newton counties. It primarily contains horizontal wells producing from the Austin Chalk formation. The reserves are approximately 55% oil and natural gas liquids. At year-end 2002, we had 13 proved undeveloped locations in this area. Our planned 2003 capital expenditures in this area include drilling one development well.

Lake Washington Field. As of December 31, 2002, we owned drilling and production rights in 11,080 net acres in the Lake Washington Field. This area is located in Plaquemines Parish in South Louisiana. The reserves are approximately 98% oil and natural gas liquids. We acquired interests in the Lake Washington Field in March 2001. This field produces oil from multiple Miocene sands ranging in depth from less than 1,700 feet to greater than 9,000 feet. The field is located on a salt dome and has produced over 300 million BOE since its inception in the 1930s. The area around the dome is heavily faulted, thereby creating a large number of potential traps. Oil and gas from approximately 38 producing wells is gathered from three platforms located in water depths from 6 to 11 feet, with drilling and workover operations performed with barge rigs. In 2002, 23 development wells and four exploratory wells were drilled in the area; 17 development and two exploratory wells were successful. At year-end 2002, we had 63 proved undeveloped locations in this field. Our planned 2003 capital expenditures in this area include drilling 50 to 60 development wells and one saltwater disposal well.

Masters Creek Area. As of December 31, 2002, we owned drilling and production rights in 77,475 net acres and 107,000 fee mineral acres in this area, which contains substantial proved undeveloped reserves. This area was also part of the acquisition from Sonat in 1998. It is located in Central Louisiana near the Texas-Louisiana border in the two parishes of Vernon and Rapides. It contains horizontal wells producing both oil and gas from the Austin Chalk formation. The reserves are approximately 72% oil and natural gas liquids. At year-end 2002, we had 12 proved undeveloped locations in the area. Our planned 2003 capital expenditures in this area include drilling one development well.

Domestic Emerging Growth Areas

The Frio Trend. We have been focusing on the deep sands of the Frio formation (10,000 to 16,000 feet) in an area that straddles the border of Kenedy County and Willacy County in the southern tip of Texas and is identified

as Garcia Ranch. Retaining a 65% working interest, we had two discoveries in the area in 2001, one in the Rome prospect in Willacy County and the other in the Siena prospect in Kenedy County. In 2002, we participated in a successful non-operated well with a 33% working interest in the Milan prospect in Kenedy county. We plan to participate in drilling two development wells in 2003 in this area.

The Wilcox Sands. We had three discoveries in the Wilcox sands during 2001, two of which were located in Goliad County, Texas: the Nita prospect drilled to a depth of approximately 15,000 feet and the Brandon prospect drilled to a depth of about 13,000 feet. Our working interests in the two wells are 73% and 60%, respectively. The third well, in which we have a 25% working interest, was in the Falcon Ridge prospect in Zapata County, Texas. We plan to participate in one development well in this area in 2003.

The Woodbine Formation. The Woodbine formation is located in southeast Texas in San Jacinto, Polk, and Tyler counties. We drilled one well to the Woodbine formation in 2001, in the Lion prospect in San Jacinto County, Texas, to a depth of 15,000 feet. Although hydrocarbon-bearing intervals were found, the well was deemed noncommercial. The Company has two other Woodbine prospects, the Jaguar and Bobcat prospects, both located in Polk County.

The Miocene Sands. We successfully drilled our first exploratory well in the Miocene sands in our Lake Washington Area in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana, to a depth of 3,348 feet with a retained interest of 100%. This area has substantial exploration and development potential, with sands extending from shallow depths down to 10,000 feet or more. Through 2002, we have drilled 28 wells in this area.

New Zealand Core Operating Areas

Our activity in New Zealand began in 1995. As of December 31, 2002, our permit 38719, which we operate, included approximately 49,800 acres in the Taranaki Basin of New Zealand's north island. This acreage includes our Rimu and Kauri areas as well as our Tawa and Matai prospects.

We expanded our operation in New Zealand in January 2002 with our TAWN purchase of Southern Petroleum (NZ) Exploration, Limited, from Shell New Zealand, through which we acquired interests in four fields and significant infrastructure assets.

In March 2002, we completed the acquisition of all of the New Zealand assets of Antrim. These assets included a 5% working interest in the Swift-operated permit 38719, increasing the Company's interest in this permit to 95%. An additional 7.5% interest was also acquired in permit 38716 (Huinga prospect), increasing the Company's interest to 15%.

In August 2002, we were awarded two additional onshore permits, permits 38756 and 38759. These permits include approximately 8,100 and 20,400 gross acres, respectively, in proximity to our permit 38719.

In September 2002, we completed the acquisition of Bligh's 5% working interest in permit 38719 and 5% interest in the Rimu petroleum mining permit 38151, along with their 3.24% working interest in the four TAWN petroleum mining licenses. The Company's interests in permit 38719, petroleum mining permit 38151, and the TAWN petroleum mining licenses are now 100%.

In December 2002, we agreed to acquire an additional 50% interest in permit 38718 (Tuihu prospect) from Shell New Zealand through an existing pre-emptive right under the joint operating agreement. Following the transaction, SENZ will sell a 20% interest in the permit to a subsidiary of New Zealand Oil and Gas Limited. The purchase and subsequent sale, which are subject to certain government notifications, approvals and consents, will result in SENZ holding a 50% working interest in this permit. We were named operator of the permit. Permit 38718 contains the Tuihu #1 exploratory well, which was drilled in 2001 and temporarily abandoned. Our 2003 budget calls for a re-entry of this well, which will sidetrack or deepen the original well.

As of December 31, 2002, our gross investment in New Zealand totaled approximately \$172.8 million. Approximately \$145.0 million of our investment costs have been included in the proved properties portion of our oil and gas properties, while \$27.8 million is included as unproved properties.

Rimu Area. Early in 2002, we were awarded petroleum mining permit 38151 by the New Zealand Ministry for Economic Development for the development of the Rimu discovery over an approximately 5,500 acre area for a primary term of 30 years. Commercial production from the Rimu area began in May 2002.

During the first quarter of 2002, the Rimu-A2 sidetrack was completed and recently underwent fracture stimulation, which was unsuccessful. We plan a CO₂ stimulation project during the first half of 2003 to improve its productivity. The Rimu-B3 development well was also sidetracked in early 2002 but was unsuccessful.

Kauri Area. During 2002, three wells were drilled in the Kauri area. The Kauri-A1 exploratory well was drilled to the Upper Tariki sand, the Kauri-A3 development well was drilled to the shallow Manutahi sands, and the Kauri-A4 exploratory well was drilled through the Kauri sands and on down to the Lower Tariki sand, which was found to be too wet for commercial production. After the drilling of the Kauri-A4 well was completed in October 2002, pipe was set in the well and perforated over approximately 33 feet of the Kauri sands in preparation for a hydraulic fracture stimulation in early 2003.

TAWN Area. The TAWN acquisition in January 2002 consisted of a 96.76% working interest in four petroleum mining licenses, or PML, covering producing oil and gas fields, and extensive associated hydrocarbon-processing facilities and pipelines, which give us a competitive advantage through infrastructure that complements our existing fields, providing us with increased access to export terminals and markets and additional excess processing capacity for both oil and natural gas. The TAWN assets are located approximately 17 miles north of the Rimu area.

The properties are collectively identified as the TAWN properties, an acronym derived from the first letters of the field names – the Tariki Field (PML 38138), the Ahuroa Field (PML 38139), the Waihapa Field (PML 38140), and the Ngaere Field (PML 38141). The four fields include 17 wells where the purchaser of gas, Contact Energy, has contracted to take minimum quantities and can call for higher production levels to meet electrical demand in New Zealand. Sales gas deliveries to Contact have exceeded the contract minimum during all of 2002.

Solution gas gathered from the Waihapa Production Station (“WPS”) flows to the Tariki Ahuroa gas plant (“TAG”). The current processing capacity of the WPS facility is up to 15,000 barrels of oil and 40 MMcf of natural gas per day. Processing capacity tests conducted following facility modifications completed in the third quarter have confirmed a 12% increase in the gas processing capacity of the TAG plant. A 32-mile, 8-inch diameter oil export line runs from the WPS to the Omata Tank Farm at New Plymouth, where oil export facilities allow for sales into international markets. An additional 32-mile, 8-inch diameter natural gas pipeline runs from the WPS to the Taranaki Combined Cycle Electric Generation Facility near Stratford and on to the New Plymouth Power Station.

We have a service agreement with the owner of the Omata Tank Farm to utilize the blending, storage, and export capabilities of the facility. The operator of the facility provides services for a fixed fee per barrel received and other variable costs as required by the agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, crude oil produced from the TAWN and Rimu/Kauri areas have access to the Omata Tank Farm.

Our current contract with Shell Petroleum Mining (SPM), which purchases all of our New Zealand crude oil production, runs through the end of 2003. The delivery point for our crude oil sales is the ship’s flange. SPM and the Omata Tank Farm coordinate logistical issues for shipments, and thus SPM’s decisions regarding sales from the Omata Tank Farm can affect the timing of sales of that portion of our production.

Rimu Production Station. We completed construction on the Rimu Production Station (“RPS”) during the first quarter of 2002, and production was processed through this facility beginning in the second quarter of 2002. Our oil production processed through the RPS is transported the 17 miles by truck to our WPS facility and then sent by pipeline to the Omata Tank Farm. Our natural gas production processed through the RPS is sold to Genesis Power Ltd. under a long-term contract. Natural gas prices are substantially lower in New Zealand, as compared to domestic prices, largely due to the fact that the natural gas market has been dominated by one large field, the Maui Field, which supplies approximately 70% of the natural gas supply but is due to be depleted by 2007.

New Zealand Emerging Growth Areas

The Tawa prospect is located northwest of the Rimu and Kauri areas in the same permit. Its main targets are the Kapuni sands, the Kauri sandstones, and the Tariki sandstone. Consisting of a combination of structural and stratigraphic traps, this prospect was developed based upon Swift’s analysis of existing three-dimensional seismic data plus two-dimensional seismic data acquired during Company surveys in 1997 and 2000.

The Matai prospect, located on the southeast flank of the Tawa prospect also in permit 37819, will target the Moki and Urenui sandstones. It was identified based upon the analysis of the two-dimensional seismic data Swift acquired in 2000.

The Tuihu prospect, permit 38718, is located northeast of our TAWN Area. In December 2002, we agreed to acquire an additional 50% interest in permit 38718 from Shell New Zealand through an existing pre-emptive right under the joint operating agreement. Following the transaction, SENZ will sell a 20% interest in the permit to a subsidiary of New Zealand Oil and Gas Limited. The purchase and subsequent sale, which are subject to certain government notifications, approvals and consents, will result in SENZ holding a 50% working interest in this permit. We were named operator of the permit. Permit 38718 contains the Tuihu #1 exploratory well, which was drilled in 2001 and was temporarily abandoned. Our 2003 budget calls for a re-entry of this well, which will sidetrack or deepen the original well.

The Huinga prospect, permit 38716, is located northeast of our Rimu/Kauri areas. An exploratory well was drilled on this permit, of which we own 15%, in 1998 and was temporarily abandoned. This well was re-entered in 2002 and was unsuccessful. The operator is currently re-evaluating this prospect.

Oil and Gas Reserves

The following table presents information regarding proved reserves of oil and gas attributable to our interests in producing properties as of December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000. The information set forth in the table regarding reserves is based on proved reserves reports prepared by us and audited by H. J. Gruy and Associates, Inc., Houston, Texas, independent petroleum engineers. Gruy's audit was based upon review of production histories and other geological, economic, ownership, and engineering data provided by Swift.

In accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines, estimates of future net revenues from our proved reserves and the PV-10 Value must be made using oil and gas sales prices in effect as of the dates of such estimates and are held constant throughout the life of the properties, except where such guidelines permit alternate treatment, including, in the case of gas contracts, the use of fixed and determinable contractual price escalations. Proved reserves as of December 31, 2002, were estimated based upon prices in effect at year-end. The weighted averages of such year-end prices domestically were \$4.23 per Mcf of natural gas, \$29.36 per barrel of oil, and \$17.30 per barrel of NGL, compared to \$2.68, \$18.51, and \$11.00 at year-end 2001 and \$11.25, \$25.50, and \$20.30 at year-end 2000, respectively. The weighted averages of such year-end 2002 prices for New Zealand were \$1.48 per Mcf of natural gas, \$28.80 per barrel of oil, and \$12.24 per barrel of NGL, compared to \$1.18, \$18.25, and \$8.90 in 2001, respectively. The weighted averages of such year-end 2002 prices for all our reserves, both domestically and in New Zealand, were \$3.49 per Mcf of natural gas, \$29.27 per barrel of oil, and \$16.54 per barrel of NGL, compared to \$2.51, \$18.45, and \$10.70 in 2001, respectively. We have interests in certain tracts that are estimated to have additional hydrocarbon reserves that cannot be classified as proved and are not reflected in the following table.

The table sets forth estimates of future net revenues presented on the basis of unescalated prices and costs in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission and their PV-10 Value. Operating costs, development costs, and certain production-related taxes were deducted in arriving at the estimated future net revenues. No provision was made for income taxes. The estimates of future net revenues and their present value differ in this respect from the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows set forth in Supplemental Information to our Consolidated Financial Statements, which is calculated after provision for future income taxes.

Year Ended December 31, 2002

Estimated Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

Net natural gas reserves (Mcf):

	Total	Domestic	New Zealand
Proved developed	233,514,572	149,731,562	83,783,010
Proved undeveloped	93,217,100	90,092,500	3,124,600
Total	326,731,672	239,824,062	86,907,610

Net oil and NGL reserves (Bbl):

Proved developed	35,928,395	26,530,112	9,398,283
Proved undeveloped	34,510,568	32,499,528	2,011,040
Total	70,438,963	59,029,640	11,409,323

Estimated Present Value of Proved Reserves

Estimated present value of future net cash flows from proved reserves discounted at 10% annum:

Proved developed	\$ 679,356,172	\$ 516,832,848	\$ 162,523,324
Proved undeveloped	481,833,151	456,632,145	25,201,006
Total	\$ 1,161,189,323	\$ 973,464,993	\$ 187,724,330

Year Ended December 31, 2001

Estimated Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

Net natural gas reserves (Mcf):

	Total	Domestic	New Zealand
Proved developed	181,651,578	167,401,736	14,249,842
Proved undeveloped	143,260,547	121,087,764	22,172,783
Total	324,912,125	288,489,500	36,422,625

Net oil and NGL reserves (Bbl):

Proved developed	23,759,574	20,393,142	3,366,432
Proved undeveloped	29,723,062	22,171,591	7,551,471
Total	53,482,636	42,564,733	10,917,903

Estimated Present Value of Proved Reserves

Estimated present value of future net cash flows from proved reserves discounted at 10% annum:

Proved developed	\$ 344,478,834	\$ 306,095,381	\$ 38,383,453
Proved undeveloped	258,507,354	186,012,413	72,494,941
Total	\$ 602,986,188	\$ 492,107,794	\$ 110,878,394

	Year Ended December 31, 2000		
	Total	Domestic	New Zealand
Estimated Proved Oil and Gas Reserves			
Net natural gas reserves (Mcf):			
Proved developed	215,169,833	215,169,833	--
Proved undeveloped	203,444,143	148,130,666	55,313,477
Total	418,613,976	363,300,499	55,313,477
Net oil and NGL reserves (Bbl):			
Proved developed	10,980,196	10,980,196	--
Proved undeveloped	24,153,400	12,962,513	11,190,887
Total	35,133,596	23,942,709	11,190,887
Estimated Present Value of Proved Reserves			
Estimated present value of future net cash flows from proved reserves discounted at 10% annum:			
Proved developed	\$ 1,257,570,764	\$ 1,257,570,764	\$ --
Proved undeveloped	1,055,684,045	919,388,009	136,296,036
Total	\$ 2,313,254,809	\$ 2,176,958,773	\$ 136,296,036

At year-end 2002, 60% of the proved reserves were developed reserves. At year-end 2001, 50% of proved reserves were developed. At year-end 2000, 45% of proved reserves were developed.

Changes in quantity estimates and the estimated present value of proved reserves are affected by the change in crude oil and natural gas prices at the end of each year. Our total proved reserves quantities at year-end 2002 increased by 16% over reserves quantities a year earlier, while the PV-10 Value of those reserves increased 93% from the PV-10 Value at year-end 2001. While our total proved reserves quantities, on an equivalent Bcfe basis, at year-end 2001 increased by 3% over reserves quantities in 2000, the PV-10 Value of those reserves decreased 74% from the PV-10 Value at year-end 2000. This decrease in 2001 prices resulted in 47.1 Bcfe of downward reserves revision, solely attributed to the decrease in prices used in 2001. The PV-10 Value increase in 2002 and the PV-10 decrease in 2001 were heavily influenced by pricing increases at year-end 2002 as compared to year-end 2001 and by pricing decreases from year-end 2001 as compared to year-end 2000. Product prices for natural gas increased 39% during 2002, from \$2.51 per Mcf at year-end 2001 to \$3.49 at year-end 2002, while oil prices increased 59% between the two dates, from \$18.45 to \$29.27 per barrel. Product prices for natural gas decreased 75% during 2001, from \$9.86 per Mcf at December 31, 2000, to \$2.51 per Mcf at year-end 2001, while oil prices decreased 25% between the two dates, from \$24.62 to \$18.45 per barrel. Product prices for natural gas increased 282% during 2000, from \$2.58 per Mcf at December 31, 1999, to \$9.86 per Mcf at year-end 2000, matched by a 4% increase in the price of oil between the two dates, from \$23.69 to \$24.62 per barrel.

Proved reserves are estimates of hydrocarbons to be recovered in the future. Reservoir engineering is a subjective process of estimating the sizes of underground accumulations of oil and gas that cannot be measured in an exact way. The accuracy of any reserves estimate is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Reserves reports of other engineers might differ from the reports contained herein. Results of drilling, testing, and production subsequent to the date of the estimate may justify revision of such estimates. Future prices received for the sale of oil and gas may be different from those used in preparing these reports. The amounts and timing of future operating and development costs may also differ from those used. Accordingly, reserves estimates are often different from the quantities of oil and gas that are ultimately recovered. There can be no assurance that these estimates are accurate predictions of the present value of future net cash flows from oil and gas reserves.

No other reports on our reserves have been filed with any federal agency.

Oil and Gas Wells

As we continued to liquidate partnerships for those partnerships which voted to do so, our total gross well count decreased. Acquisitions such as Lake Washington, where we own nearly a 100% interest in all operated wells, have increased well ownership on a net basis. The following table sets forth the gross and net wells in which we owned an interest at the following dates:

	Oil Wells	Gas Wells	Total Wells(1)
December 31, 2002:			
Gross	342	555	897
Net	278.9	479.8	758.7
December 31, 2001:			
Gross	396	786	1,182
Net	297.0	467.9	764.9
December 31, 2000:			
Gross	599	904	1,503
Net	165.2	484.7	649.9

(1) Excludes 35 service wells in 2002, 48 service wells in 2001, and 25 service wells in 2000. Also excludes five wells in 2001 and three wells in 2000 in New Zealand that were temporarily shut-in awaiting the commissioning of the Rimu Production Station.

Oil and Gas Acreage

As is customary in the industry, we generally acquire oil and gas acreage without any warranty of title except as to claims made by, through, or under the transferor. Although we have title to developed acreage examined prior to acquisition in those cases in which the economic significance of the acreage justifies the cost, there can be no assurance that losses will not result from title defects or from defects in the assignment of leasehold rights. In many instances, title opinions may not be obtained if in our judgment it would be uneconomical or impractical to do so.

The following table sets forth the developed and undeveloped leasehold acreage held by us at December 31, 2002:

	Developed (1)		Undeveloped (1)	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Alabama	9,686.01	2,859.10	775.72	291.87
Arkansas	602.00	486.38	280.15	280.15
Louisiana	91,543.91	71,989.49	26,525.22	17,858.76
Mississippi	630.03	163.32	60.00	15.80
Texas	183,416.49	122,312.29	72,737.12	46,983.18
Wyoming	120.00	21.06	73,777.00	70,745.32
All other states	320.00	266.66	160.00	17.32
Offshore Louisiana	4,609.37	276.56	5,000.00	258.34
Offshore Texas	14,400.00	1,600.79	---	---
Total Domestic	305,327.81	199,975.65	179,315.21	136,450.74
New Zealand	6,760.00	6,454.00	163,262.37	112,652.01
Total	312,087.81	206,429.65	342,577.58	249,102.75

(1) Fee mineral acres acquired in the Brookeland and Masters Creek areas acquisition are not included in the above leasehold acreage table. We have 26,345 developed fee mineral acres and 83,920 undeveloped fee mineral acres for a total of 110,265 fee mineral acres.

Drilling Activities

The following table sets forth the results of our drilling activities during the three years ended December 31, 2002:

Year	Type of Well	Gross Wells				Net Wells			
		Total	Producing	Dry	Temporarily Abandoned	Total	Producing	Dry	Temporarily Abandoned
2000	Exploratory-Domestic	9	5	4	--	6.2	3.4	2.8	--
	Development-Domestic	59	52	7	--	42.4	37.1	5.3	--
	Exploratory-New Zealand	2	2	--	--	1.8	1.8	--	--
2001	Exploratory-Domestic	11	6	5	--	6.2	4.0	2.2	--
	Development-Domestic	36	36	--	--	29.5	29.5	--	--
	Exploratory-New Zealand	2	--	1	1	1.1	--	0.9	0.2
	Development-New Zealand	4	2	2	--	3.6	1.8	1.8	--
2002	Exploratory-Domestic	7	3	4	--	5.0	2.3	2.7	--
	Development-Domestic	23	17	6	--	23.0	17.0	6.0	--
	Exploratory-New Zealand	3	2	1	--	2.2	2.0	0.2	--
	Development-New Zealand	3	2	1	--	3.0	2.0	1.0	--

Operations

We generally seek to be operator in the wells in which we have a significant economic interest. As operator, we design and manage the development of a well and supervise operation and maintenance activities on a day-to-day basis. We do not own drilling rigs or other oil field services equipment used for drilling or maintaining wells on properties we operate. Independent contractors supervised by us provide all the equipment and personnel. We employ drilling, production, and reservoir engineers, geologists, and other specialists who work to improve production rates, increase reserves, and lower the cost of operating our oil and gas properties.

Oil and gas properties are customarily operated under the terms of a joint operating agreement. These agreements usually provide for reimbursement of the operator's direct expenses and for payment of monthly per-well supervision fees. Supervision fees vary widely depending on the geographic location and depth of the well and whether the well produces oil or gas. The fees for these activities paid to us in 2002 totaled \$5.0 million and ranged from \$450 to \$2,174 per well per month.

Marketing of Production

Domestically, we typically sell our oil and gas production at market prices near the wellhead, although in some cases it must be gathered and delivered to a central point. Gas production is sold in the spot market on a monthly basis, while we sell our oil production at prevailing market prices. We do not refine any oil we produce. Eastex Crude Company and Contact Energy in New Zealand each accounted for 10% or more of our total revenues during the year ended December 31, 2002, with those purchasers accounting for approximately 28% of revenues in the aggregate. For the year ended December 31, 2001, Eastex Crude Company and subsidiaries of Enron accounted for approximately 29% of our total revenues. However, due to the availability of other purchasers, we do not believe that the loss of any single oil or gas purchaser or contract would materially affect our revenues.

In 1998, we entered into gas processing and gas transportation agreements for our gas production in the AWP Olmos Area with PG&E Energy Trading Corporation, which was assumed in December 2000 by El Paso Hydrocarbon, LP, and El Paso Industrial, LP, both affiliates of El Paso Merchant Energy, for up to 75,000 Mcf per day, which provided for a ten-year term with automatic one-year extensions unless earlier terminated. We believe that these arrangements adequately provide for our gas transportation and processing needs in the AWP Olmos Area for the foreseeable future. Additionally, the gas processed and transported under these agreements may be sold to El Paso based upon current natural gas prices.

Our oil production from the Brookeland and Masters Creek areas is sold to various purchasers at prevailing market prices. Our gas production from these areas is processed under long-term gas processing contracts with Duke Energy Field Services, Inc. The processed liquids and residue gas production are sold in the spot market at prevailing prices.

Our oil production from the Lake Washington Area is delivered into ExxonMobil's crude oil pipeline system for sales to various purchasers at prevailing market prices. Our gas production from this area is either consumed on the lease or is delivered into El Paso's Tennessee Gas Pipeline system and then sold in the spot market at prevailing prices.

Our oil production in New Zealand is sold into the international market at prices tied to the Asia Petroleum Price Index (APPI) Tapis posting, less the cost of storage, trucking, and transportation.

Our gas production from our TAWN fields is sold under a long-term contract with Contact Energy. Our gas production from the Rimu field is sold to Genesis Power Ltd. under a long-term contract. Additional production volumes from our TAWN fields, over the contract minimum, can be sold to Contact Energy or Genesis Power Ltd. at prevailing market rates.

Our New Zealand natural gas liquids production is sold to RockGas under long-term contracts tied to New Zealand's domestic natural gas liquids market.

The following table summarizes sales volumes, sales prices, and production cost information for our net oil and gas production for the three-year period ended December 31, 2002. "Net" production is production that is owned by us directly or indirectly through partnerships or joint venture interests and is produced to our interest after deducting royalty, limited partner, and other similar interests.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Net Sales Volume:			
Oil (Bbls) (1)	3,770,128	3,055,373	2,472,014
Gas (Mcf)(2) (3)	27,131,578	26,458,958	27,524,621
Gas equivalents (Mcf)	49,752,346	44,791,202	42,356,705
Average Sales Price:			
Oil (Per Bbl) (1)	\$ 20.88	\$ 22.64	\$ 29.35
Gas (Per Mcf) (3)	\$ 2.30	\$ 4.23	\$ 4.24
Average Production Cost (per Mcfe)	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.69

¹Oil production for 2002 includes New Zealand production of 695,454 barrels, at an average price per barrel of \$20.28. Oil production for 2001 includes New Zealand production of 84,261 barrels, at an average price per barrel of \$21.64.

²Natural gas production for 2000 includes 405,130 Mcf delivered under the volumetric production payment agreement pursuant to which we were obligated to deliver certain monthly quantities of natural gas. Under the volumetric production payment entered into in 1992, we delivered the last remaining commitment of gas in October 2000, when such agreement expired.

³Natural gas production for 2002 includes New Zealand production of 11,351,518 Mcf, with an average price of \$1.32 per Mcf.

In the table above, for 2002, natural gas liquids have been combined with oil and condensate for reporting purposes. The natural gas liquids production for 2002 was 1,173,504 barrels, at an average price of \$12.82 per barrel.

Risk Management

Our operations are subject to all of the risks normally incident to the exploration for and the production of oil and gas, including blowouts, cratering, pipe failure, casing collapse, oil spills, and fires, each of which could result in severe damage to or destruction of oil and gas wells, production facilities or other property, or individual injuries. The oil and gas exploration business is also subject to environmental hazards, such as oil spills, gas leaks, and

ruptures and discharges of toxic substances or gases that could expose us to substantial liability due to pollution and other environmental damage. Additionally, as managing general partner of limited partnerships, we are solely responsible for the day-to-day conduct of the limited partnerships' affairs and accordingly have liability for expenses and liabilities of the limited partnerships. We maintain comprehensive insurance coverage, including general liability insurance in an amount not less than \$50.0 million, as well as general partner liability insurance. We believe that our insurance is adequate and customary for companies of a similar size engaged in comparable operations, but losses could occur for uninsurable or uninsured risks or in amounts in excess of existing insurance coverage.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment, competing with major integrated and independent energy companies for desirable oil and gas properties, as well as for equipment, labor and materials required to develop and operate such properties. Many of these competitors have financial and technological resources substantially greater than ours. The market for oil and gas properties is highly competitive and we may lack technological information or expertise available to other bidders. We may incur higher costs or be unable to acquire and develop desirable properties at costs we consider reasonable because of this competition.

Regulations

Environmental Regulations

Our exploration, production and marketing operations are regulated extensively at the international, federal and state and local levels. These regulations affect the costs, manner and feasibility of our operations. As an owner of oil and gas properties, we are subject to international, federal, state and local regulation of discharge of materials into, and protection of, the environment. We have made and will continue to make significant expenditures in our efforts to comply with the requirements of these environmental regulations, which may impose liability on us for the cost of pollution clean-up resulting from operations, subject us to liability for pollution damages and require suspension or cessation of operations in affected areas. Changes in or additions to regulations regarding the protection of the environment could increase our compliance costs and might hurt our business.

We are subject to state and local regulations domestically and are subject to New Zealand regulations that impose permitting, reclamation, land use, conservation and other restrictions on our ability to drill and produce. These laws and regulations can require well and facility sites to be closed and reclaimed. We frequently buy and sell interests in properties that have been operated in the past, and as a result of these transactions we may retain or assume clean-up or reclamation obligations for our own operations or those of third parties.

United States Federal, State and New Zealand Regulation of Oil and Natural Gas

The transportation and certain sales of natural gas in interstate commerce are heavily regulated by agencies of the federal government and are affected by the availability, terms and cost of transportation. The price and terms of access to pipeline transportation are subject to extensive federal and state regulation. The FERC is continually proposing and implementing new rules and regulations affecting the natural gas industry, most notably interstate natural gas transmission companies that remain subject to the FERC's jurisdiction. The stated purpose of many of these regulatory changes is to promote competition among the various sectors of the natural gas industry. Some recent FERC proposals may, however, adversely affect the availability and reliability of interruptible transportation service on interstate pipelines.

Our sales of crude oil, condensate and natural gas liquids are not currently subject to FERC regulation. However, the ability to transport and sell such products is dependent on certain pipelines whose rates, terms and conditions of service are subject to FERC regulation.

Production of any oil and gas by us will be affected to some degree by state regulations. Many states in which we operate have statutory provisions regulating the production and sale of oil and gas, including provisions regarding deliverability. Such statutes, and the regulations promulgated in connection therewith, are generally intended to prevent waste of oil and gas and to protect correlative rights to produce oil and gas between owners of a common reservoir. Certain state regulatory authorities also regulate the amount of oil and gas produced by

assigning allowable rates of production to each well or proration unit. Likewise, the government of New Zealand regulates the exploration, production, sales and transportation of oil and natural gas.

Federal Leases

Some of our properties are located on federal oil and gas leases administered by various federal agencies, including the Bureau of Land Management. Various regulations and orders affect the terms of leases, exploration and development plans, methods of operation, and related matters.

Employees

At December 31, 2002, we employed 234 persons. Of these employees, 57 are in New Zealand, eight of whom are members of a union. None of our other employees are represented by a union. Relations with employees are considered to be good.

Facilities

We occupy approximately 93,000 square feet of office space at 16825 Northchase Drive, Houston, Texas, under a ten-year lease expiring in 2005. The lease requires payments of approximately \$167,000 per month. In New Zealand we lease approximately 15,000 square feet of office space, under leases expiring in 2009. The lease requires payments of approximately \$16,000 per month. We also have field offices in various locations from which our employees supervise local oil and gas operations.

Partnerships

Prior to 1995, we funded a substantial portion of our operations through 109 limited partnerships which we formed and for which we have served as managing general partner. These partnerships raised a total of \$509.5 million of capital, with the largest portion (81%) raised to acquire interests in producing properties. Eight of the earliest partnerships and 13 of the most recently formed partnerships were created to drill for oil and gas. In all of these partnerships Swift paid for varying percentages of the capital or front-end costs and continuing costs of the partnerships and, in return, received differing percentage ownership interests in the partnerships, along with reimbursement of costs and/or payment of certain fees. These partnerships began liquidating and selling their properties in 1996. At year-end 2002, we continued to serve as managing general partner for six remaining partnerships, all of which are drilling partnerships that have been in existence from four to six years.

Available Information

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports, changes in and stock ownership of our directors and executive officers, together with other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act can be accessed free of charge on our web site at www.swiftenergy.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file these reports with the SEC. All exhibits and supplemental schedules to these reports are available free of charge through the SEC web site at www.sec.gov. In addition, we have adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers and Principal Executive Officer. We have posted this Code of Ethics on our website, where we also intend to post any waivers from or amendments to this Code of Ethics.

Glossary of Abbreviations and Terms

The following abbreviations and terms have the indicated meanings when used in this report:

Bbl — Barrel or barrels of oil.

Bcf — Billion cubic feet of natural gas.

Bcfe — Billion cubic feet of natural gas equivalent (see Mcfe).

BOE — Barrels of oil equivalent.

Development Well — A well drilled within the presently proved productive area of an oil or natural gas reservoir, as indicated by reasonable interpretation of available data, with the objective of completing in that reservoir.

Discovery Cost — With respect to proved reserves, a three-year average (unless otherwise indicated) calculated by dividing total incurred exploration and development costs (exclusive of future development costs) by net reserves added during the period through extensions, discoveries, and other additions.

Dry Well — An exploratory or development well that is not a producing well.

Exploratory Well — A well drilled either in search of a new, as yet undiscovered oil or natural gas reservoir or to greatly extend the known limits of a previously discovered reservoir.

Gigajoules — A unit of energy equivalent to .95 Mcf of 1,000 Btu of natural gas.

Gross Acre — An acre in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross acres is the total number of acres in which a working interest is owned.

Gross Well — A well in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross wells is the total number of wells in which a working interest is owned.

MBbl — Thousand barrels of oil.

Mcf — Thousand cubic feet of natural gas.

Mcfe — Thousand cubic feet of natural gas equivalent, which is determined using the ratio of one barrel of oil, condensate, or natural gas liquids to 6 Mcf of natural gas.

MMBbl — Million barrels of oil.

MMBtu — Million British thermal units, which is a heating equivalent measure for natural gas and is an alternate measure of natural gas reserves, as opposed to Mcf, which is strictly a measure of natural gas volumes. Typically, prices quoted for natural gas are designated as price per MMBtu, the same basis on which natural gas is contracted for sale.

MMcf — Million cubic feet of natural gas.

MMcfe — Million cubic feet of natural gas equivalent (see Mcfe).

Net Acre — A net acre is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional working interests owned in gross acres equals one. The number of net acres is the sum of fractional working interests owned in gross acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

Net Well — A net well is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional working interests owned in gross wells equals one. The number of net wells is the sum of fractional working interests owned in gross wells expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

NGL — Natural gas liquid.

Petajoules — A unit of energy equivalent to .95 Bcf of 1,000 Btu of natural gas.

Producing Well — An exploratory or development well found to be capable of producing either oil or natural gas in sufficient quantities to justify completion as an oil or natural gas well.

Proved Developed Oil and Gas Reserves — Reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

Proved Oil and Gas Reserves — The estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, that is, prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made.

Proved Undeveloped Oil and Gas Reserves — Reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

Proved Undeveloped (PUD) Locations — A location containing proved undeveloped reserves. Proved undeveloped oil and gas reserves are reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

PV-10 Value — The estimated future net revenues to be generated from the production of proved reserves discounted to present value using an annual discount rate of 10%. These amounts are calculated net of estimated production costs and future development costs, using prices and costs in effect as of a certain date, without escalation and without giving effect to non-property related expenses, such as general and administrative expenses, debt service, future income tax expense, or depreciation, depletion, and amortization.

Reserves Replacement Cost — With respect to proved reserves, a three-year average (unless otherwise indicated) calculated by dividing total incurred acquisition, exploration, and development costs (exclusive of future development costs) by net reserves added during the period.

SFAS — Statement of Financial Accounting Standards.

TAWN — New Zealand producing properties acquired by Swift in January 2002. TAWN is comprised of the Tariki, Ahuroa, Waihapa, and Ngaere fields.

Terajoule — A unit of energy equivalent to 1,000 gigajoules.

Volumetric Production Payment — The 1992 agreement pursuant to which we financed the purchase of certain oil and natural gas interests and committed to deliver certain monthly quantities of natural gas.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

No material legal proceedings are pending other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted during the fourth quarter of 2002 to a vote of security holders.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

COMMON STOCK, 2001 AND 2002

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange and the Pacific Exchange, Inc., under the symbol "SFY." The high and low quarterly sales prices for the common stock for 2001 and 2002 were as follows:

	2001				2002			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Low	\$28.91	\$27.70	\$19.00	\$16.66	\$15.55	\$13.44	\$10.40	\$6.80
High	\$37.50	\$37.70	\$32.55	\$25.14	\$20.58	\$20.53	\$15.23	\$10.54

Since inception, no cash dividends have been declared on our common stock. Cash dividends are restricted under the terms of our credit agreements, as discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and we presently intend to continue a policy of using retained earnings for expansion of our business.

We had approximately 366 stockholders of record as of December 31, 2002.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Revenues					
Oil and Gas Sales	\$141,195,713	\$181,184,635	\$189,138,947	\$108,898,696	\$80,067,837
Fees and Earned Interests(2)	\$67,173	\$427,583	\$331,497	\$229,749	\$333,940
Interest Income	\$263,738	\$49,281	\$1,339,386	\$833,204	\$107,374
Other, Net	\$8,443,187	\$2,145,991	\$815,116	\$709,358	\$1,960,070
Total Revenues	\$149,969,811	\$183,807,490	\$191,624,946	\$110,671,007	\$82,469,221